

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/599,866	10/12/2006	Stein Kuiper	GB 040089	5839		
24737 7590 062272008 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			EXAM	EXAMINER		
			MARTINEZ, JOSEPH P			
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			2873			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			06/27/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/599,866 KUIPER ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit

		JOSEPH MARTINEZ	2873	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence ad	ddress
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY DHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA SHORT BY THE MAILING DA STORY BY THE MAILING DA SIX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. SIX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to very within the set or orderided period for reply with by statistic the state of the state	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Me</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i> .	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disnositi	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Applicati	ion Papers			
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on 12 October 2006 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected lrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d)
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b	have been received. have been received in Applicative documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage
	445)			

Attachment(s)

1)	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2)	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3)	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S6/08)

D (4	Interview Summary (PTO-413)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
is Π	Matics of Informal Patent Assis

6) Other: ____.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see p. 4-5, filed 3-28-08, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-5 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) and 103(a), respectively, have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn.

However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Tsuboi (6702483).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, and 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being fully anticipated by Tsuboi et al. (6806988).

Re claim 1, Tsuboi et al. teaches for example in fig. 2, 3, 9 and 45C, a variable focus lens comprising: a container (105) enclosing an insulating liquid (122) and a conducting liquid (121), the insulating liquid and the conducting liquid being immiscible (abstract), having different refractive indices (col. 6, In. 19 and col. 6, In. 26) and being in contact with each other via an interface (124), the liquids being at least partially

Art Unit: 2873

placed in a light path through the container (fig. 9); an electrode arrangement (103, 125) for controlling the shape of the interface (from 2 to 3) by means of a voltage (abstract); the container further comprising a transparent end portion (106) in the light path, a part of the transparent end portion being in direct contact with and defining the shape of a central portion of the interface (fig. 45C) at a predefined voltage (abstract).

Re claim 3. Tsuboi et al. teaches for example in fig. 2, 3, 9 and 45C. Re claim 1. an electronic device comprising: a variable focus lens (101) comprising: a container (105) enclosing an insulating liquid (122) and a conducting liquid (121), the insulating liquid and the conducting liquid being immiscible (abstract), having different refractive indices (col. 6, In. 19 and col. 6, In. 26) and being in contact with each other via an interface (124), the liquids being at least partially placed in a light path through the container (fig. 9); an electrode arrangement (103, 125) for controlling the shape of the interface (from 2 to 3) by means of a voltage (abstract); the container further comprising a transparent end portion (106) in the light path, a part of the transparent end portion being in direct contact with and defining the shape of a central portion of the interface (fig. 45C) at a predefined voltage (abstract); and driver circuitry (137) coupled to the electrode arrangement (fig. 9), the driver circuitry being arranged to: apply the predefined voltage (V1, V2, V3) across the electrode arrangement (col. 37, In. 38-41) in an idle state of the variable focus lens (col. 37, In. 38-41); and apply a further voltage across the electrode arrangement for separating the interface from the transparent end portion when the variable focus lens is enabled (col. 37, In. 38-41).

Art Unit: 2873

Re claim 4, Tsuboi et al. further teaches for example in fig. 2, 3, 9 and 45C, the further voltage is a further predefined voltage (V1).

Re claim 5, Tsuboi et al. further teaches for example in fig. 2, 3, 9 and 45C, the electronic device further comprises an image sensor (134) for sensing light passing through the variable focus lens (fig. 9), the image sensor being arranged to provide the driver circuitry (137) with an output signal for controlling the magnitude of the further voltage (fig. 9).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsuboi et al. (6806988).

Re claim 2, supra claim 1. Furthermore, Tsuboi et al. further teaches for example in fig. 2, 3, 9 and 45C, a predefined voltage of the applied voltage (abstract).

But, Tsuboi et al. fails to explicitly teach the applied voltage is 0V.

Art Unit: 2873

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a predefined voltage of the applied voltage as 0V, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Tsuboi et al. with the predefined voltage of 0V, in order to reduce the amount energy used to maintain a shape of the lens.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2873

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph P. Martinez whose telephone number is 571-272-2335. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00 AM to 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Mack can be reached on 571-272-2333. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Joseph Martinez/ Patent Examiner, AU 2873 6-23-08